THE SASKATCHEWAN RATE REVIEW PANEL

Transcript of Proceedings

οf

A PUBLIC MEETING

held by the

Saskatchewan Rate Review Panel at the Prince Albert Inn

аt

Prince Albert, Saskatchewan on Monday, November 25, 2013

Panel Members:

Kathy Weber - Chairperson

Bill Barzeele - Vice Chairperson

Burl Adams - Member

Shawn Hurd, CSR - Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

(COMMENCED AT 7:37 P.M.)

CHAIRPERSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, good evening and thank

you very much for joining us this evening.

The purpose of tonight's meeting is to review the application and to hear presentations regarding SaskPower's three-year rate application, and we're really pleased that you took time out tonight to join us, bearing in mind I know that it's been an exciting time in Saskatchewan with the Riders' big victory, and also today is one month before Christmas, so it's a busy time for everybody.

Tonight we have two members of the panel besides myself to hear your presentations and your comments. Barzeele from Little Bear Lake is vice chair, and Burl Adams at the door is from Kelvington, and my name is Kathy Weber, and I'm from Saskatoon.

The panel's mandate is to review the application before us and provide a report to the government that balances the interests of SaskPower, its customers and the public. During this review process, the panel hires expert technical consultants to provide

1.3

an overview of the application as well as an independent report to the panel. To preserve our impartiality during this process, we endeavour to hire industry experts from outside of Saskatchewan, and for the purpose of this review, our consultants are Forkast Consulting and Kostelnyk Consulting, both from Winnipeq.

I would also like to introduce Shawn Hurd seated right behind me. She is with Royal Reporting, and she will be taking a verbatim transcript of tonight's meeting, and so that will be made available on our web site.

Driefly about the public consultation process that the panel goes through during its review. The -- the public consultation process is probably one of the most important aspects of our review. In addition to the five public meetings that we're holding during this review, we also hear directly from the public through e-mail, mail and phone, and earlier this year the panel also added Facebook and Twitter to methods that we use to communicate

with the public.

1.3

The reason we hold these meetings is so that we have the opportunity to hear from you, and I want you to know that anything -- any of your comments or your feedback this evening is going to be taken very seriously and will be shared with the other members of the panel. We try to keep the process as open as possible during the review period, and we do this mainly through our web site, and on our web site you can find a copy of the application plus we keep updating it with additional information that we obtain during the course of the review.

Now, just a bit about the process we'll be following this evening.

We'll begin with a presentation from SaskPower where they'll explain their application. Then if anyone here would like to make a presentation, we'll invite you to do so, and then if there's anyone that just would like to ask some general questions, you're -- you're -- will be welcome to do so at that time.

If you are planning to make

Page 5 a presentation or ask a question, we would just simply ask that you state your name and spell your last name for the purpose of ensuring that Shawn gets your spelling correct in our transcript. One of the -- one of the things that the panel is very pleased about is the fact that SaskPower agrees to attend our public meetings and to make a presentation to 10 the public, so I'm not going to introduce all of the gentlemen seated here. I'll leave that up to them to introduce themselves, but first 1.3 I would like to call on Sandeep Kalra, chief

pricing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KALRA: Thanks, Kathy. Thank you very much for joining us here tonight. I'll introduce my colleagues. To my immediate left is Troy King. He's the director of corporate planning, and to far right is Peter Lawn. He's manager of energy for costing and

financial officer with SaskPower, and he will

begin the presentation of the application.

So what I'll do is I'll kick off the presentation. I'll cover the first few slides, and then after that Troy will take you through the rest of the presentation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what we have in front of you is a three-year rate application, and it's 5.5 percent next year, 2014, and 5 percent and 5 percent for 2015 and 2016. This rate increase takes into account the needs, you know, for the SaskPower to be financially sustainable, financially strong to be able to provide reliable electricity to the province but, at the same time, the impact on the customer, so this is not the only -- this is not a mechanistic rate application. public impact has been considered, and these numbers show a balance between the need for us to get reasonable rate fees and, at the same time, you know, provide cost certainty to the customer and taking into account the impact on the customers.

The impact of this rate increase will be -- for average residential customer will be roughly \$5 a month starting 2014, January 1, 2014, \$4 a month the year -- the next year, 2015, and then an additional \$4 from January 1st, 2016. For the average farm customers, the impact will be \$7, \$10 and \$9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more per month for the years of 2014, '15 and '16 respectively.

Can we go to the next slide, please? We are asking for an interim rate increase which will be effective 1st of January 2014. The reason for that is we are bringing this application a little bit late in the year, and the rate panel generally takes, you know, five months to six months to go through the process of -- regarding the rate application, going through the public meetings like this, and their consultants need to do their, you know, work, so in the meantime, what we need is a rate increase which is effective 1st of January, and what it means is this will be an interim rate increase which will -- which will be implemented before the rate process -- the regulation process is complete.

After the completion of this process, if it's a decision of the Saskatchewan Rate Review Panel that the rate increase should be different, then an adjustment would be made for the balance of the years. We might be one-time refunded,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

might be, you know, adjustment. We need to work through that mechanism.

This slide shows -- it's a busy slide, so I'll go and take us fully through it. It shows the impact of the rate increase of the customers. So let me -- for example, I'll touch on a few slide -- a few -a few lines. The farmers, for example, the average rate increase would be 3.5 percent for an average farm in 2014, 4.5 percent in 2015 and 4 percent in 2016. For our customers or industrial customers, the rate increase is 7 percent, 5.8 percent and 5.8 percent, so they are different. They're not -- all of the rate -- all of the customers won't see the same rate increase of $5 \frac{1}{2}$, 5, 5. They would see different rate increases because we balance the rates to ensure that each customer does fall within the industry standard of .95 and 1.04 -- 1.05 revenue required. simply means is there is no cross subsidization, so whatever the cost of providing service to a customer is, we try and recover that, so the ideal ratio would be one for all the customers. Industry acceptable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ratio is between .95 to 1.05. We try and keep it, you know, within a matter of -- matter of .98 to 1.08, so there's a minimum -- minimum subsidization, cross subsidization between these -- between various customer classes.

This slide shows rate comparison. Now, this is for residential customers nationally. If you look at the first three columns, those are three provinces which have legacy hydro, so that's B.C., Manitoba and Quebec, so they have legacy hydro. The price -- the price that the customer pays, the residential customer pays is relatively low. They also benefit from exports to the U.S., which in many cases subsidizes their domestic consumers. The rest of Canada does not have that luxury, so if you compare our rates with the rest of Canada, they fall somewhere in the middle, okay? when you compare with the non-hydro utilities, our rates, you know, do fare -- do compare favourably with the rest.

This slide shows the thermal rate compare -- comparison. Now, for the -- for the purposes of this comparison, what we

25

have done is we have taken the three provinces that I had mentioned earlier, you know, so that's the Quebec, Manitoba and B.C., so the Hydro-Quebec set out the -- the hydro provinces are out. The rest of the provinces are in, and there are four columns which compare residential rates for the residential for the other provinces with similar energy mix as us, so you see that our rates compare favourably. It's 13.8 cents versus 14.1 cents per kilowatt hour, and the difference, you know, keeps increasing. Small commercial is 14 to 15.6. For large commercial it's 11.4 cents to 13.8, and for large industrial, it's 6.1 versus 10.3, so all across the board our rates are, on average, better than the other thermal utilities in Canada, and this is despite the fact that we have very challenging geography. We have more power poles than customers in -- in this province. We have on -- our transmission lines and distribution lines add up to roughly 160,000 kilometers.

If you look at this graph, what it's trying to show is what is the line per customer, so SaskPower is, you know,

300 -- 308 meters of line per -- per customer, so you have to invest in those lines. You have to maintain. All the crews have to go. They have to find faults wherever it is, and if the outage is there, they have to repair it, and then you compare it with some of the other ones which are more compact, and as a result, it's easy to -- the initial investment is lower, and it's easy to maintain that investment. So despite the fact that we have, you know, huge geography that's supported by SaskPower, on the back -- on the -- given that we have only half a million regular customers, our rates did compare favourably as compared to the other provinces.

With that, I'll pass it over to Troy to take you through in more details as to what the rate drivers are for -- for this rate increase.

MR. KING: Thanks, Sandeep. There's two main things that are driving our rate increase for the next three years. First and foremost is our capital investment. Our capital investment translates into our costs

through depreciation, through finance charges,

1 through capital taxes. This year alone 2 SaskPower is going to be investing two billion 3 dollars in its infrastructure, so that's 1.35 4 that will be spent on our own and that's 5 another 700 million that we've invested 6 through independent power producers, so it's 7 those costs, and they're usually a one-year 8 delayed effect on that is what's driving it. 9 For the next two years, for '14, '15, '16, 10 we're looking to invest about a billion 11 dollars a year in each year. 12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second thing driving our -- our rate increase is fuel costs. Our fuel costs are continuing to rise largely due to the low growth and a need to supply that -- that new growth with natural gas. Our legacy generating systems, our generation fuel is coal and hydro, which is amongst our cheaper sources of fuel. As we grow, that new growth is supplied by natural gas, which has a higher marginal cost than -- than our traditional sources of energy.

So this slide here just breaks down the rate increase for the next three years into -- to what's driving it. The

1.3

green is showing the capital portion. The blue is showing the fuel and purchase power, and the light blue is showing the O, M and A cost, so you can see in 2014, of the 5.5 percent rate increase, about 4 percent of that or roughly 70 percent of the rate increase is driven by our capital spending, so that's that two billion dollars that we're spending this year we're having to pay for next year with -- with the rates.

on the fuel side, you can see the fuel cost is about -- worth about .9 of the 5.5 percent increase in '14, and then when you go to '15 and '16, it increases to 2.4 percent or roughly half for the next two years. Similarly, you see the drop in the capital from about 4 down to about 2 percent, and that's as we drop from 2 billion spending down to a billion, each year that piece drops, and as we grow in our energy consumption, we're using more and more natural gas, so that fuel piece picks up, and finally, our O, M cost, which is our -- our maintenance costs and our plants, operation of our facilities and our overhead costs, those are responsible

1.3

for about .6 or .7 percent for the next two years.

So what's driving this need for -- for this investment that we're having? Really what we're facing is record growth here in -- in Saskatchewan. Electricity use is expected to grow at 2.6 percent per year over the next 10 years, and that compares to about 1.4 percent what we experienced in the previous decade. In 2013/'14 alone, we're looking at 8 percent growth. So far this year to the end of October, we experienced 6 percent growth in the province of Saskatchewan.

In 2012 we added over 10,000 customers to the system, which is up 14 percent from 2011 and 144 percent from '08, and we're looking for similar numbers in 2013. In 2012 we spent 226 million dollars to connect customers to the system, and in January of 2013, we experienced a record high demand of 3,379 megawatts.

This graph here might be a little tough to see, but what it's showing you, the -- the red line is showing the

23

24

25

generation capacity of SaskPower. The blue line is showing the demand of -- the overall demand of the system. So you can see in 2014, '15, '16 we're staying above the demand by a bit. However, starting in at the end of 2017, you can see we're crossing the line where demand is going to be higher than our ability to generate. That is why we're needing to invest in our system today to -- to prevent Then you can see the red line. As the red line is dropping, that's our -- our capacity dropping over the next 20 years, and what that's showing is not that our -- our capacity is going to fall, but we're going to have to make decision points. Each one of those decision points represents our existing generation reaching the end of its life, so you either have to build something new or you have to go and refurbish what -- what exists,

This slide here just talks about what we're doing in 20 -- the period of 2014 to '16 to address this -- this problem. So we're adding 205 megawatts of natural gas-fired generation at the Queen Elizabeth

1.3

Power Station effective 2015. We've entered into an agreement with Algonquin Power to provide 177 megawatts of wind power by 2016, and currently we're in negotiations with the Black Lake First Nation to provide 50 megawatts of power to northern Saskatchewan, and that's anticipated to come on line in 2018. However, we're still in negotiations, and nothing's been finalized.

As I mentioned, in addition to the -- adding the new gen., we're also seeing gen. falling -- generation falling off, so this year we saw the retirement of Boundary Dam Unit Number 1, and in 2015 we're going to see the retirement of Boundary Dam Unit Number 2. These were both commissioned in the 1950s, and they've come to the end of their useful life.

This slide here is giving a picture of our transmission system across the -- the province of Saskatchewan, and we have a number of dots on there marking new customer connects, some system development projects, and it's very hard to see, but we also have green and red and yellow lines

showing the health of our existing

transmission lines, but what -- I think what

we want to point out here, the red circle is

what our transmission planning folks are

calling our hot spots, so this represents

areas of significant growth or deterioration

in our transmission system that we set as a

priority where we have to make our

investments. So over the next five years,

this is where the bulk of the investment in

our transmission system is going to be going

to, addressing these hot spots across the

province.

So some of the things that we're investing in. We have the I1K transmission line, which is going from Island Falls north to improve the capacity and reliability of our northern transmission system. In the Saskatoon area, that's again one of those hot spots. We're investing to reinforce that to deal with the growth in the Saskatoon area, so there would be three transmission lines, two switching stations and one new substation.

Just to maintain the lines,

our existing lines, Sandeep mentioned the 110,000 power poles that we have. We have ongoing sustainment programs in place, so wood pole, transformer replacements, rural rebuilds, line upgrades and improvements, and we also have a wood-pole testing program to test and treat poles and trying to extend the useful life of those poles beyond their original estimated life.

In terms of customer
service, we're also making investments there,
and probably the most visible one we're going
to see in the next couple years is our
advancing metering infrastructure, so what
SaskPower is going to be doing is replacing
all the meters that you have at your homes,
the analogue and digital meters with smart
meters, which allows the corporation to read
them -- the meters automatically. We won't
need meter readers. It allows us to build a
smart grid system which will allow better care
and maintenance of our transmission system.

We streamlined some of our processes connecting customers to the system to improve the time that we have and servicing

our customers. There's been an automated work scheduling and dispatching program that's just been implemented. The intent of that program is to dispatch our field staff to different spots, schedule their work. The idea is to reduce -- or improve efficiency and reduce overtime, and, finally, we're working with subdivisions, with developers and with other utilities when they're developing new subdivisions to work together to improve efficiency and reduce costs.

1.3

itself to -- to reduce the impact of the rate increase on -- on its customers? Our -- our key program is our business renewal program, and that's been in place to look at efficiencies and have SaskPower operate more effectively and efficiently. To do this, the corporation brought in three different consultants. We brought in KPMG. We brought in Deloitte & Touche and UMS, and they basically looked at all parts of the organization and gave a number of recommendations on how SaskPower can operate more efficiently. We've taken those

recommendations and turned it into this program.

1.3

To the end of 2012, the corporation's realized 137 million dollars of savings. We're continuing, and that's -- it's not something that is done. It's -- it's an ongoing process, and we're looking to continually add new savings. Again, to stress this is meant to reduce rate increases, but it can't completely eliminate it, given the size of our -- our capital investments that we need.

So some of the things that
we've done to the end of 2012, that customer
connect process improvements that I mentioned
before has resulted in about 36 million
dollars in savings through more timely service
to our customers. We've been able to reduce
our finance charges through the use of
short-term borrowing, so we were able to get a
better interest rate on that, saving about 63
million dollars. We've had changes in our
information technology initiatives, has
resulted in 12 million dollars in savings, and
we have an overhaul maintenance management

program. We're extending the amount -- the time in-between overhauls. We're not doing them as frequently. That has saved us 14 million dollars to date.

As I mentioned before, we also have some -- some new projects on the works, the work scheduling, a materials management process improvement and a redesign procurement process. We're continually adding new projects to the list to continue to drive down savings and reduce our costs.

So what can customers do

to -- to reduce their bill? Now, we have some

pamphlets at the back, and our -- our key

program is our demand-side management program,

and that's what the pamphlets describe, some

of the things that our customers can do to -
to save money. So one of the things that we

have is a refrigerator recycling program. You

can save up to \$100 a year by recycling your

old refrigerator. We have lighting programs,

rebates and incentives offered for

energy-efficient light bulbs, which can save

you up to \$40 of the life of the bulb. The

block-heater timing program, SaskPower is

1.3

giving away block heaters. If you use a block heater to plug in your car, you can save up to \$25 a year by plugging in for only 4 hours a night as opposed to 12. For our industrial customers, we have an energy optimization program which is meant to identify efficiency improvements for them, and, finally, a commercial lighting incentive program for some of our commercial customers that allows them to buy premium lighting at standard lighting prices.

SaskPower I think, as Sandeep mentioned, what we're trying to do is balance the need -- the financial need to the corporation and -- and the -- still be sensitive to our customers and what they can -- can absorb as a rate increase. Normally our return on equity or what we try and achieve in terms of our earnings is 8.5 percent. For the next three years we're looking at ROEs of 1.3 percent, 2 percent and 1.9 percent, so that would give us an income of about 27 million dollars in '14, 40 million in '15 and 40 million in 2016. Given the amount of variability that we can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have in our -- our forecast largely due to our fuel costs due to customer demand, we're very close to break even on these -- these three years here.

So again, we're trying to balance the needs between our -- our financial interests and that of our -- our customers, and probably the most important ratio that we look at over the time is our debt ratio. those not familiar with the debt ratio, it's really how much of the company is mortgaged. The debt shows how much we owe to the bank relative to the value of the assets of our company, so our -- we can see that our debt ratio is forecast to increase from 74.6 in 2014 up to almost 77 percent in 2016. This is a key indicator for us because it's -- it can be reflective not only of SaskPower but on the province and are we able to sustain and operate independently as our own business. Ιf our debt ratio continues to climb, we'll be seen to be really part of the government and not a stand-alone corporation, so then all of our debts become the debts of the province. By maintaining an effective debt ratio, we

1	keep our debt separate from the government and
2	we don't become a drag on the province.
3	I think that's all we have
4	for our presentation, and we'll just turn to
5	questions.
6	MR. LEE: We have lots of questions,
7	then.
8	CHAIRPERSON: Is there is there anybody
9	that wants to make a presentation to the
10	panel? No? Or is it just questions? Then
11	just step up to the microphone and give us
12	your name and spell your last name, please.
13	MR. LEE: My name is Brian is it
14	on?
15	CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I think. Is it?
16	MR. BARZEELE: Yeah.
17	CHAIRPERSON: It sounds like it's on.
18	MR. LEE: There we go.
19	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
20	MR. LEE: My name is Brian Lee, L-e-e.
21	I'm pleased that you're here in Prince Albert
22	to explain these these intended rate
23	increases. I'm disappointed that we only have
24	a few of us here tonight. I have lots of
25	questions, so maybe I'll just ask one at a

	r age 25		
1		time, and as soon	as I'm done, if you'd like
2		to jump in at any	time, okay?
3			So, first of all, your on
4		your graphs there	, you're showing that you're
5		meeting your deman	nd through your generation at
6		the present time.	You're in the range of
7		4,000 megawatts,	are you
8	MR.	KING:	Yeah.
9	MR.	LEE:	36? So my first
10		question, then, is does SaskPower have a	
11		transmission intertie with other utilities	
12	MR.	KING:	Yes.
13	MR.	LEE:	like B.C. Hydro?
14	MR.	KING:	We have it with Alberta,
15		with Manitoba and to the south into North	
16		Dakota.	
17	MR.	LEE:	Okay. Alberta has a
18		transmission intertie with British Columbia.	
19	MR.	KING:	Yes.
20	MR.	LEE:	So there's on your graph,
21		you're showing a cheaper rate for residential	
22		per-kilowatt-hour	cost.
23	MR.	KING:	In B.C.? Yeah.
24	MR.	LEE:	And the explanation was
25		because of their l	hydro capacity?

21

22

23

24

25

how much returns do you need. If one jurisdiction or -- you know, think of these as private companies for one second. private company sells the power or a service to other -- other entity, they don't sell it at the price, you know, they can produce it They try and sell it at what the -- you know, what price they can get from this market, and our module cost is much higher than the cost of production in -- for example, in B.C. and Manitoba, so if we buy power from them, we are not paying the same prices as a local consumer would do. We pay close to how much it would cost us to generate that power, so even if the price is maybe lower, by the time we buy it and sell it, the prices are much higher. Okay? So we don't get the benefit of those low prices.

The second thing is there is a limit on the intertie capacity as to how much power can be imported and exported at a given point in time, and it's quite low.

It's, you know, 150 megawatts, it's 75 megawatts, it's 300 megawatts, so when you look at our overall system, it's a very small

amount.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And third thing is many of these provinces are -- for a certain point in time, for a short period in time, they are power surplus and we can buy them. We import and export power, but it's very hard to get into long-term agreements with them because they don't have, you know, spare capacity. They don't have spare firm capacity, so many -- much of the building that has been done in these provinces has been done for local use. Site C is coming up in B.C. of the other big projects are coming up in -in Manitoba, and historically they have sold the excess power to -- to the U.S. market because they have, you know, got good -- good prices in the U.S. market.

Now, we're looking at

Manitoba to see if we can get some sort of

long-term arrangement with them, but when we

do it, we won't be paying Manitoba prices

because Manitoba doesn't sell to us or to U.S.

at Manitoba prices. They sell at the export

prices, which are a little bit higher. When

it's the wheeling thing, yes, electricity can

1	be wheeled through the province, and we have
2	an open access tariff what is it called?
3	MR. KING: OATT.
4	MR. KALRA: And and basically it's a
5	standard tariff. You know, our transmission
6	system is open, and it can be used upon
7	providing comparative tariff. You know, other
8	companies can use it. I hope it answered your
9	questions. Yeah.
10	CHAIRPERSON: Do you have other
11	questions you'd like to
12	MR. LEE: Other questions?
13	CHAIRPERSON: You can proceed.
14	MR. LEE: We have more questions,
15	but
16	MR. KALRA: Yeah. Sure.
17	CHAIRPERSON: You can proceed.
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I don't have any. I was
19	just here to listen.
20	CHAIRPERSON: Why don't you proceed and
21	then or do you want to switch?
22	MR. WALKER: That's fine.
23	CHAIRPERSON: That's fine. Okay.
24	MR. LEE: Thank you for that response.
25	MR. KALRA: Sure.

		·	ugo 00
1	MR.	LEE:	Just real quick now.
2		In your in your	r presentation again, you're
3		showing that you's	re going to be with your
4		smart meters you'	re going to be eliminating a
5		lot of the labour	force.
6	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
7	MR.	LEE:	So my question is overall on
8		an annual basis	
9	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
10	MR.	LEE:	on on the big pie of
11		your revenue and y	your expenses what is the
12		percentage of cost	ts of your labour?
13	MR.	KALRA:	It's roughly 14 percent of
14		our revenues.	
15	MR.	LEE:	14?
16	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
17	MR.	LEE:	14 or 40?
18	MR.	KALRA:	No, one four, 14
19	MR.	LEE:	14.
20	MR.	KALRA:	percent is our wages and
21		benefits of our to	otal revenue.
22	MR.	LEE:	Okay, and now the city of
23		Saskatoon and the	city of Swift Current
24	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
25	MR.	LEE:	buy from you; is that

Okay. My question -- I'm

25

MR. LEE:

		——————————————————————————————————————	
1		a I'm a Metis.	
2	MR.	KALRA:	Okay.
3	MR.	LEE:	And we are by
4		constitutional ri	ght, we have the same
5		recognition, if y	ou will, nationally
6	MR.	KALRA:	Okay.
7	MR.	LEE:	federally. However, we
8		don't have the sa	me types of land base
9	MR.	KALRA:	Okay.
10	MR.	LEE:	as First Nations. So my
11		question is we're	interested to invite
12		corporations to c	ome to Saskatchewan to get
13		into in the	that are solely in the
14		business of renew	able energy. Now, does the
15		Saskatchewan legi	slation, Saskatchewan powers
16		act, would that p	revent the Metis from doing
17		that similar to i	t prevents doesn't it not
18		prevent other pri	vate industry from producing
19		power using whate	ver method, selling that
20		power then to Sas	kPower? First Nations are
21		exempt I understa	nd, right?
22	MR.	KALRA:	There's no exemption for
23		anyone and there'	s no restriction on anyone,
24		so I don't think	I completely understand the
25		question.	

MR. LEE:

over here.

Okay.

MR. KALRA: What we do is we have arrangements with private parties, and they could be First Nations, they could be Metis, they could be, you know, other private parties, and we get into those, you know, arrangements on a bilateral basis. Some of these are comparatively solicited, so, for example, you know, Troy talked about the big power project in North Battleford. It was compare -- comparatively sourced. You know, a company from Ontario won that project, and, you know, they -- they're doing that project

The project that we're working on on the Black Lake First Nations, it's site specific, so you cannot have, you know, comparative solicitation for that because it's -- it's -- it is only possible to do it in a specific location, and it happens to be on their land, so we're doing it with them, in partnership with Black Lake First Nations. So different types of sourcing would mean that we would have different partners.

Our preference is to do

1.3

1		comparative sour	cing wherever it's possible.
2		Wherever it's not	t possible, wherever it's site
3		specific so th	nat the the case in point
4		is the Tazi Twe 1	Power Plant, and there will
5		be for example	e, in a potash mine there may
6		be a cogeneration	n opportunity which we can do
7		with, you know,	the that site, so we would
8		do a bilateral a:	rrangement with them. So
9		going back to you	ar question, there is no
10		restriction on	- with whom we partner. We
11		can partner with anyone as long as there's a	
12		viable project and as long as it's a	
13		comparative project, price comparative	
14		project.	
15	MR.	LEE:	So that is there's no
16		restrictions if	it's a corporation that's
17		mining or whatever	
18	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
19	MR.	LEE:	forestry, cogeneration
20	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
21	MR.	LEE:	there's no no
22		restrictions.	
23	MR.	KALRA:	There's no restriction. It
24		has to be price of	comparative, and we need to
25		we we need	the premise is that we need

	, age ee		
1		power at that poin	nt in time, right, so if we
2		need power, if we	re going out looking for
3		power, someone is	able to provide it through
4		comparative source	ing or through bilateral
5		negotiation at a	comparative price, we'll look
6		at it.	
7	MR.	LEE:	You talked about the First
8		Nations, they have a land base, so anything	
9		that they decide to produce	
10	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
11	MR.	LEE:	or any kind of an
12		arrangement would	be something on their land
13		base; is that right	ht?
14	MR.	KALRA:	It was on their this
15		project, Tazi Twe	project is on their land,
16		yeah.	
17	MR.	LEE:	Okay. For Metis now, we'd
18		be interested in	looking at Metis traditional
19		territories	
20	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
21	MR.	LEE:	which in this case would
22		be Crown-resourced land.	
23	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
24	MR.	LEE:	So any problems there with
25		legislation?	
	ii		

1	I	P	Page 36 ———————————————————————————————————
		,	age 50
1	MR.	KALRA:	I cannot answer it
2	MR.	LEE:	Okay.
3	MR.	KALRA:	at this stage. I need to
4		get a yeah. We	ell, maybe we can look into
5		it	
6	MR.	LEE:	Yeah, because
7	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
8	MR.	LEE:	this is something that
9		we're very interes	sted in in pursuing
10	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah, but once again,
11		it's	
12	MR.	LEE:	as Metis in Saskatchewan.
13	CHAI	RPERSON:	It's probably beyond
14	MR.	KALRA:	We ask for
15	CHAI	RPERSON:	your scope. It's
16		probably beyond th	ne scope of SaskPower to make
17		that determination	ı.
18	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah. The way we do it, you
19		know, we source po	ower. Most of the power is
20		sourced comparativ	vely, so
21	CHAI	RPERSON:	Right.
22	MR.	KALRA:	for example, the the
23		wind farm which Tr	coy talked about, 177
24		megawatts of wind	power, that's a very good
25		example. We said	we're looking for wind

1		power, we need ro	ughly 250 megawatts wind
2		power. Who can p	rovide it us provide it to
3		us most comparati	vely? And there were lots of
4		bids, and we chos	e, you know, the best
5		possible partner	for us, so in those cases, it
6		doesn't really ma	tter who who is providing
7		it as long as it	kind of works for us and it
8		works for you	know, by default works for
9		the people of the	province, so we do it all
10		the time. We hav	e comparative, you know,
11		solicitation for	power all the time. You
12		know, we go out a	nd ask for it, so we don't
13		restrict anyone a	nywhere from offering us
14		that, you know, p	ower.
15	MR.	LEE:	Okay. One of the things
16		that's in the new	s a lot lately
17	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
18	MR.	LEE:	is climate change.
19	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
20	MR.	LEE:	And I think we're all aware
21		of what's you	know, we've all heard of it.
22	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
23	MR.	LEE:	So what we've been looking
24		at is evidence, s	cientific evidence that shows
25		that we need to r	educe the production of

people that participated in that study were

86 to 88 percent of the

Yeah.

MR. KALRA:

MR. LEE:

24

			age 39 ————
1		opposed to Bruce P	ower's proposal
2	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
3	MR.	LEE:	to build a 3,000 or
4		3,200-megawatt rea	ctor on the Saskatchewan
5		River. SaskPower,	to my memory, wasn't so
6		opposed to that id	ea. So my question is
7	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
8	MR.	LEE:	does SaskPower intend
9		sometime in the ne	xt 20 years, 25 years or
10		sooner on developi	ng renewal or developing
11		nuclear power	
12	MR.	KALRA:	Okay.
13	MR.	LEE:	small nuclear reactors or
14		whatever?	
15	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah. Let me start by this
16		one in terms of cu	rrent direction, where we're
17		going. If you loo	k at what we're looking in
18		the next few years	, what you will see is we
19		are phasing out	
20	MR.	LEE:	Yes.
21	MR.	KALRA:	coal, and what we're
22		doing is basically	wind, hydro, natural gas,
23		so what we're doin	g is our mix is changing, so
24		it's become more a	nd more and more. So
25		directionally we'r	e going in that direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, base and speed and velocity remains to be seen, but directionally we are moving in that direction. So coal would -- is not impeding. If any coal we would put in would be clean coal from now on, which captures, you know, 90 percent of CO2, and we'll re -- we will be replacing -- the initial capacity would come from -- maybe from natural gas in the next 20 or 15 years, 20 years, and some hydro, some wind.

Going back to the question on the nuclear, we are examining all the options, and all the -- at this stage no one has done small nuclear reactors. There is no single -- you know, no small nuclear reactor which is in operation right now. There's a company called TVA, Tennessee Valley Authority, in the U.S. which is looking at small nuclear reactors. They may be in place by 2021, '22, '23, okay? So we will see, you know, how they work, how much they cost, what the -- what the experience is and then make a decision after that, you know, in -- with a lot of public consultation, whether people are prepared for small nuclear reactor in this

1

province or not, so that's one thing we are following with keen interest as to how that technology develops. At this stage there is no commitment that has been made by SaskPower to nuclear because we don't have technology. The big nuclear doesn't work for SaskPower because the -- for Sask -- Saskatchewan because the science tends to be too large given our -- given our province, so we are looking at technology development in the small nuclear reactor field, but it's too soon to say what will -- what'll happen. We'll wait and see what the experience of some of the other, you know, bigger utilities is before we decide anything and what, you know, the people of the province want before we decide on anything.

25

MR. LEE: What is your record,

SaskPower's record in -- do you consult and
accommodate aboriginal communities whenever
you have an intended development for power
generation or you're building a new
transmission line somewhere? Have you been
involved with any --

MR. KALRA: With all of them, yeah, so

representation of the aboriginal community.

MR. KALRA: Okay.

MR. LEE: The -- the CPF of the provincial government clearly says that you have to consult with the chief of the individual First Nation and the president of

22

23

24

	the Metis local whenever there's you have a
	proposal, which would include any development,
	right, anything that might have an adverse
	impact on the land.
MR.	KALRA: Mm'hmm. We do. We have
	we understand our duty to consult on any on
	any you know, on any project which has this
	application, we do follow, you know, the right
	procedure.
MR.	LEE: Okay. I'm going to sit down
	pretty soon. On your graph, you show a cost
	of six cents per kilowatt for industry.
MR.	KALRA: Yeah.
MR.	LEE: Is that a subsidy or is that
	a different form of energy? Is that an
	interruptible energy or what? Why do they get
	a cheaper rate?
MR.	KALRA: We don't subsidize anyone.
	Remember I talked about the rate balancing
	where we try and ensure that no class of
	customer is subsidizing, cross subsidizing
	other class of customers.
MR.	LEE: Okay.
MR.	KALRA: The biggest difference
	and it's all it's all becomes a pool,
	MR. MR.

1 whether it's coming from, you know, hydro, 2 whether it's coming from coal. Energy, once 3 it becomes part of the pool, it all becomes 4 one pool, and it's all graded cost average, so 5 it all becomes, you know, one price. The 6 single biggest difference between the 7 industrial customers and a residential 8 customer is the distribution. Most big 9 customers are connected directly to our 10 transmission systems. They don't use the 11 distribution system, and there is no charge 12 for it, so that's why their prices are lower. 1.3 We don't have, you know, special deals with 14 anyone. 15 MR. LEE: Are you aware that 16 Saskatchewan per capita is the highest emitter 17 of greenhouse gas, greenhouse gases in the 18 entire world, higher than even entire 19 countries? 20 MR. KALRA: Yeah, I know it's -- I know 21 it's high, and the reason for that is 22 historically, you know, we've benefited from 23 coal, which is in abundance in this province, 24 so over time, you know --

Yeah.

25

MR. LEE:

1	MR.	KALRA:	we've been reducing the
2		reliance. We're	doing carbon capture, so
3		we're moving in t	he right direction.
4	MR.	LEE:	Another situation that's
5		developing is the	process of hydraulic
6		fracturing	
7	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
8	MR.	LEE:	for oil and gas.
9	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah.
10	MR.	LEE:	Saskatchewan is into the
11		process. There's	there's a different
12		process today that	n there was 20 years ago.
13		Today at each wel	lhead, you will destroy from
14		one million to ei	ght million gallons of
15		potable water. T	hat's not acceptable in these
16		times when we're	having a greater demand on
17		our on our wate	er.
18			As an aboriginal community,
19		we declare water	is sacred. It should
20		actually have a c	onstitutional right such as
21		some other countr	ies on on the planet
22		earth, so wheneve	r you're talking the
23		provincial govern	ment just created the 25-year
24		water security pl	an, right, last year, and in
25		there they said a	portion of 12 percent of the

1	water allocation for the province of
2	Saskatchewan is given to the recovery of oil
3	and gas or will be. We oppose that. We
4	it's called the new term for recovery of
5	these two fossil fuels is called extreme
6	energy because of the method that's used, how
7	you destroy how you destroy the element of
8	water, the two elements that make up water.
9	CHAIRPERSON: I think we're getting a
10	little bit beyond the scope of SaskPower.
11	MR. LEE: Well
12	CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Okay.
13	MR. LEE: the thing is is that it
14	all ties together
15	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
16	MR. LEE: because they're talking
17	about energy sources using using natural
18	gas. Didn't they say that earlier?
19	CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: Yeah. So that's what I'm
21	talking about.
22	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
23	MR. LEE: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
25	MR. LEE: So anybody else want to

infrastructure, debt and things like that?

How much -- so, in other words, how much is --

24

1		is going into the	general revenue fund?
2	MR.	KING:	This year 100 percent of
3		that should be	will be staying with
4		SaskPower. That's	what we anticipate.
5	MR.	WALKER:	And how about last year,
6		about how much?	
7	MR.	KING:	Last year we paid 120
8		million dollars to	the government.
9	MR.	WALKER:	Out of that what was the
10		was it 130, was it	, or
11	MR.	KING:	It was 130, but it was
12		really based on ou	r 2011 profit is what they
13		took, and that's w	hen we made almost 240
14	MR.	WALKER:	Yeah.
15	MR.	KING:	and they take about half
16		of it we pay back.	
17	MR.	WALKER:	I guess and that's the
18		concern as as a	customer and a resident of
19		Saskatchewan that	I understand everything's
20		got to be paid for	somewhere, but when the
21		money is taken out	of a taken away from a
22		Crown corporation	that's making this money
23		130 million dollar	s. Oh, we're going to take
24		120 million away,	and that leaves you 10
25		million dollars to	for infrastructure and

1 for -- to service your debt, and then they 2 come along and say, oh, by the way, we need 3 some more money from you. It's a little hard to swallow. It's more of a comment than a 4 5 question. 6 MR. KING: Yeah. Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON: Thanks, Rick. Any other 8 questions? If you have more questions, we're 9 here. We're -- we welcome them, so you're --10 MR. LEE: Well, along that thought, is 11 the review panel going to take that -- that 12 fact into consideration that the provincial 1.3 government took 120 million away from the 14 SaskPower profits in 2012? That's a question 15 for you, then. 16 There's certain CHAIRPERSON: Okay. 17 things that are within our mandate to review 18 and other things that are to be considered 19 given within our -- within our mandate. Now, 20 we, through our consultants and through our 21 own -- our own review, we look at all aspects 22 of the corporation including the amounts that 23 are paid in -- in the form of a dividend to --24 to the government. We look at all aspects of

25

the financials of the corporation from one end

1 to the other, so it's a very intensive and 2 complete review, and I think that if you've 3 looked at any of our reports in the past, I think you'll -- you'll find that we do -- we 4 5 do a fairly intense review of the entire 6 corporation but bearing in mind there are only 7 certain areas that we're allowed to really 8 comment on and make recommendations to the 9 government. 10 MR. LEE: I may be -- might be down to 11 my last question, a question for the CFO, 12 then. How much has SaskPower budgeted for 1.3 this media blitz, the television 14 advertisements that are going on? 15 MR. LAWN: What we'll spend on media 16 placements in 2013 will be \$700,000, and that 17 cost of advertising is less than .0007 percent 18 of our total infrastructure spend of the year. 19 MR. KALRA: Okay. So it's 700,000. 20 MR. LAWN: 700,000. 21 MR. KALRA: And they have it made by, 22 you know, using our -- they have been made by 23 using our own employees, so, you know, which 24 the workers you see are SaskPower workers,

are SaskPower employees, and they take a lot

1	of pride in, you know, what they do, and that
2	kind of shows up there, so it's it's for
3	many who cannot attend or will not attend, you
4	know, some of these meetings, it's it's a
5	way to reach the wider audience in the
6	province at a very reasonable cost.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Does that answer your
8	question? That's that would be for the
9	entire year, though, right, not just including
10	this current blitz that you have going on?
11	MR. KALRA: Well, we don't do too much
12	in terms of media, right? We don't do any
13	paid advertising. It's all news, news, news,
14	news whenever SaskPower shows up. This is
15	probably the only paid advertising that we've
16	done the last many years I guess.
17	CHAIRPERSON: Does that does that
18	answer the question for you?
19	MR. LEE: Yes, it's it's fairly
20	insignificant. Like he said, it's .001
21	percent or something
22	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
23	MR. LEE: of their budget. So I
24	think I've already asked the questions on
25	renewable, but maybe I didn't. Okay. Sask

what is SaskPower's plans going forward, going into the future with all of the science that's out there to do with climate change? What are your plans going forward and your -- your intent to move away from power generation by using fossil fuels and going into solar, wind and -- more into wind? I know you're already doing some wind.

MR. KALRA: Yeah.

MR. LEE:

But we really are a small -
a small population in terms of most other

places, so, yeah, do you have a plan or is

there a plan in place or do you have a

percentage in terms of your capacity that's

required today?

MR. KALRA: The challenge with solar and wind and most of the renewables is they are intermittent sources, so you still need -- so they're not available all the time when you need them. Solar is available during, you know, the sunny hours. Wind is available when the wind is blowing. You still need to back it up by -- by -- by a reliable base of power. That base of power historically has been coal. We're moving away from coal to clean coal,

1.3

which reduces emissions by 90 percent. We're moving away from coal to natural gas, which reduces emissions by 50 percent, so that's direction where we're going. That's where it will be -- we -- we cannot go a hundred percent, you know, solar. We cannot go a hundred percent wind. So it will be some wind, some solar, which will be underpinned by lots of clean coal, lots of natural gas for the foreseeable future.

years down the road, 25

years down the road, who knows. It might

become small mod -- modular reactor which

might start replacing clean coal which might

start replacing some of natural gas, so, you

know, emissions go down further. The

technology is not there. We cannot talk about

it. Technology is only developing. We'll see

how it develops, whether it's cost effective

or not.

So it's -- you cannot do it overnight. You cannot do it in a cost-effective manner. Directionally we know where we need to go to, and, you know, slowly we're trying to transition there so the rate

1 base can absorb the shock. If we moved too 2 soon, too fast, what we have is a Germany-like 3 scenario, a Denmark-like scenario where the 4 price of power is, you know -- cost of power 5 to the consumer is four times as compared to 6 what we pay over here, so trying to get a 7 balance that need -- we balance three things, 8 the affordability from a customer point of 9 view, reliability from a grid point of view 10 and sustainability from an environmental point 11 of view, and that's the delicate balance that 12 you have to do, so directionally we are. 1.3 know, it's just a question of base of it and 14 how much can we absorb -- absorb the customer, 15 you know, how soon and whether technology is 16 there or not. If storage technology starts 17 developing and starts becoming cheaper, wind 18 and solar would play, you know, bigger role 19 than -- than they play right now. 20 So that's where we're going.

21

22

23

24

25

So I can't give you fixed dates or fixed time because we're con -- continuously looking at technology, continuously looking at, you know, what the cost of producing some of the power is from these resources -- from these sources,

1	how do we best manage those three things, you
2	know, affordability, sustainability and
3	reliability.
4	MR. LEE: So the answer is you don't
5	have
6	MR. KALRA: No, the answer is it's a
7	work in progress. You know, I can't give you
8	a number and then say, oh, yeah, we can't meet
9	that number. It's a work in progress.
10	Directionally I think we're on the same side.
11	We want, you know, greener power. We want
12	affordable power, and at the same time
13	everyone wants the power to be there when you
14	flick the light on.
15	CHAIRPERSON: We've had another gentleman
16	join us. We've had a presentation from
17	SaskPower
18	MR. LAWRENCE: Mm'hmm.
19	CHAIRPERSON: and we're now in the
20	portion of our meeting where we're just
21	it's open to ask questions, if you have any
22	questions you'd like to ask either the panel
23	or if you'd like to make a presentation to the
24	panel or if you'd like to ask questions of
25	SaskPower.

1	MR. LAWRENCE: I just have questions right
2	now I guess.
3	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. If you'd like to ask
4	some questions, you're welcome to go to the
5	mike. Just state your name, and please spell
6	your last name so that our court reporter can
7	ensure that we get the correct spelling.
8	MR. LAWRENCE: Hi, my name is Steve
9	Lawrence. My last name is spelled just like
10	the river, L-a-w-r-e-n-ce. Okay. I guess my
11	question is, first of all, how much power
12	is how much capacity are you going to have
13	to increase by 2021 to accommodate all the
14	heavy industrial that's planned for this
15	province?
16	MR. LAWN: By 2021?
17	MR. LAWRENCE: I I heard a figure of 59
18	percent, so I'm just wondering if there's
19	that's even realistic.
20	MR. KALRA: Some of that might be
21	replacement of existing capacity.
22	MR. LAWRENCE: Pardon me?
23	MR. KALRA: Some of that would be
24	placement of existing capacity because we have
25	some costs retiring. You know, Boundary Dam

1	1, 2 are retiring, 3 is being replaced, coal
2	to clean coal, so that's all all of that is
3	not new power. So if we
4	MR. LAWN: (Inaudible).
5	COURT REPORTER: If they could come to the
6	mike, please.
7	CHAIRPERSON: Could you could you step
8	to the mike, please, Peter?
9	MR. LAWN: Sure. In 2023 we're
10	expecting a peak demand of about 4,440
11	megawatts, and the the peak demand this
12	year is about thirty-five sixty is what we're
13	expecting in 2013, so
14	MR. KALRA: So that's 25 percent.
15	MR. LAWN: About 25 percent.
16	MR. KALRA: About 25, 27 percent.
17	MR. LAWN: A lot of that load is is,
18	as you say, coming from industrial customers.
19	A lot of the load is coming from oil field as
20	well. There's a lot of oil production
21	expected over the next especially the next
22	five to seven years but also the industrial
23	load, the potash load, of course. All of the
24	existing mines have expanded in the province,
25	and there's at least two new what we call

1 greenfield mines that are possibly going to 2 be -- well, for sure the K + S mine is under 3 construction right now, will be in service in 2015/'16. 4 MR. LAWRENCE: 5 Is that a solution mine? 6 MR. LAWN: That's a solution mine, 7 yeah, and then BHP has a mine that's underway 8 at Jansen Lake as well that may be pushed off 9 It was supposed to be coming on line in 10 2016 but may be pushed off a little bit. 11 The other big load growth in 12 the province of the industrial nature is for 1.3 pipeline pumping. All of the -- the oil 14 that's being produced in -- in northern 15 Alberta or a lot of the new oil that's coming 16 on line, there is no place for it to go except 17 on existing pipelines and a little bit of rail 18 traffic, so a lot of that oil is -- is going 19 to end up flowing through -- or in pipelines 20 through Saskatchewan going south towards the 21 Gulf Coast or some perhaps towards Eastern 22 Canada as well, so that's what the nature of 23 growth is coming from. 24 Okay. So I can't quite see MR. LAWRENCE: 25 your chart there, but are you saying that

			age 59 ————
1		the the growth	in in capacity is going
2		to increase by 25	percent by by 2021 or
3		something?	
4	MR.	LAWN:	Yeah, it's it's in that
5		neighbourhood, yea	ah.
6	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Okay, and that's but
7		you're also retir	ing some of your facilities,
8		so you're actua	ally your your capacity
9		you're building w	ill be more than 25
10		percent	
11	MR.	KALRA:	That's right, yeah.
12	MR.	LAWRENCE:	when you think about
13		replacing the stu	ff that you're replacing, so
14		what what kind	of figure are we looking at,
15		then, between the	replacement and the and
16		the actual increas	se?
17	MR.	KING:	I don't know if I have that
18		number.	
19	MR.	KALRA:	It will show up over here,
20		right?	
21	MR.	LAWN:	It's it's on the graph
22		there, yes.	
23	MR.	KALRA:	The graph shows it over
24		here, so if you lo	ook at 2021, we would have
25		available capacity	y of this is after

MR. KALRA:

MR. KALRA:

Right.

MR. LAWRENCE:

So that's what, an increase of 20 -- that's what you said, 25 -- about 25 percent or something. Okay. So does

SaskPower have plans about how they're going to create that energy yet?

MR. KALRA:

Yeah. For -- we don't need --

21

22

23

24

MR. KALRA:

So most of that 900

megawatts would come from natural gas, some

clean coal, and, you know, balance would be --

23

24

1		a little bit of balance would be from hydro.
2	MR.	LAWRENCE: So basically you're
3		increasing what's already at existing sites.
4	MR.	KALRA: We we'll have newer
5		plants as well, so we will have at least one
6		new plant by '20 or '22, and it could be Swift
7		Current. It could be in what was the other
8		site we're looking at, Lanigan? There are two
9		sites that are being looked into for an
10		additional power plant of, you know, up to 300
11		megawatts of natural gas.
12	MR.	LAWRENCE: So basically decisions have
13		been made how that power's going to be
14		produced.
15	MR.	KALRA: Yeah. Yeah.
16	MR.	LAWRENCE: Has there been any public
17		input as to what the public would like to see
18		how that power is produced?
19	MR.	KALRA: We had, I think, published
20		our pathway, ten-year plan when there were
21		some hearings before the Crown and Central
22		Agencies Committee, and at that time, you
23		know, it was kind of published where we'd like
24		to go, but what is it that you would like to
25		see?

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Well, you know, yeah, those 2 hearings were what, 2008 or something or -- is 3 that when they were? 4 MR. KALRA: Yeah, somewhere around 5 there, '08, '09 maybe, yeah. 6 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. Well, I just --7 I'm -- I see power being something that 8 generates wealth in communities as well as the 9 province and in the country, and I see prices 10 going up for power, prices going up for 11 petroleum, things like that, and people have 12 to wonder how are they going to create 1.3 sustainable communities when -- when power is 14 going to increase so much. Now, one way to do 15 that would be for communities to get involved 16 in projects, and so if they're creating -- if 17 they're investing in their own projects and 18 creating that power, it not only stabilizes 19 the power within that community, but it might 20 generate wealth that they can export as well, 21 so, you know, the things that communities can 22 get involved in that I would like to see are 23 green projects. 24 MR. KALRA: Yeah, so what we have is we

have some programs. We have a Green Options

1 Program where we pay, you know, certain price 2 for power. We buy it. You know, if anyone 3 can produce it, we buy it, and it's for, you 4 know, green sources. We also have a process 5 called unsolicited proposals process, so if a 6 community or a developer, you know, has a plan 7 which he, you know, wants to develop, a 8 community wants to do it, we have a process of 9 entertaining those proposals and working with 10 the developers to see, you know, how --11 whether they would work, whether they, you 12 know, won't work when we need power, where we 1.3 need power, and whether some of those projects 14 can go ahead, so that it is -- that avenue is 15 still open. It's not closed to it because 16 most of the community projects are not at this 17 scale, so we need to kind of keep it going, 18 but at the same time, if some proposals come, 19 you know, we entertain them at the same time. 20 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. So does SaskPower 21 have some sort of price that they have in mind 22 that the green power could come in at, a 23 guaranteed kind of price or --24 MR. KALRA: Yeah.

-- are they going to have to

25

MR. LAWRENCE:

1		negotiate that wi	th SaskPower at every step?
2	MR.	KALRA:	No, there are programs
3		with with some	power in there. For
4		example, flare gas	s right now, the power is
5		around I don't	know 67, \$68 per megawatt
6		hour, and there are, you know, other prices	
7		for some of the other ones.	
8	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Mm'hmm.
9	MR.	KALRA:	What we don't have is we
10		don't have a feed	-in tariff like Ontario had
11		because, once again, we're trying to balance	
12		at the end. Who's paying for it in the you	
13		know, at the end.	It's the customers who are
14		paying for it, and are they getting a good	
15		deal from this, so that's what we have to, you	
16		know, keep in mind.	
17	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Okay. So you're looking at
18		getting power from flare gas?	
19	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah. There's there's a
20		flare gas program.	
21	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Yeah. Are you aware that 21
22		percent of the greenhouse gases produced in	
23		Saskatchewan come	from flare gas that is
24		vented with no power?	
25	MR.	KALRA:	Yeah, I'm aware of that, so,

1 you know --2 So wouldn't there be a MR. LAWRENCE: 3 natural incentive there for -- to use instead of just flaring that stuff into the 4 5 atmosphere, to actually do something with it? 6 MR. KALRA: Yeah, we're in -- we're in 7 agreement over here, yeah. 8 MR. LAWRENCE: Pardon me? 9 MR. KALRA: Yeah. I said we are in agreement exactly. That's --10 11 So would you have priorities MR. LAWRENCE: 12 on something that -- rather than just building 1.3 more natural gas kind of stuff? 14 MR. KALRA: We have a program for flare 15 gas, and we are open to receiving proposals, 16 but we can't wait for someone to, you know, 17 bring a proposal. We have to -- we have to 18 meet the load needs of the province, so we 19 have to keep going, but at the same time, if 20 someone has a flare gas program, we're open to 21 it. We already have a program which is 22 soliciting proposals for -- for -- from 23 developers. 24 MR. LAWRENCE: Is the SaskPower aware of 25 the intergovernmental panel on climate change

1 and report released in September? 2 I'm sure SaskPower is aware. MR. KALRA: 3 What is it you would like to highlight? 4 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay. Well, one of the 5 proposals in that -- in that -- or one of the 6 findings, they looked at a number of different 7 scenarios that would combat climate change, 8 and the one that's technologically feasible 9 that would guarantee -- well, not guarantee 10 but likely we'd be able to miss most of the 11 things that go with climate change would mean 12 we'd have to phase out of fossil fuels by 1.3 2050. 14 MR. KALRA: Mm'hmm. 15 MR. LAWRENCE: That means if we want to get out of fossil fuels by 2050, we have to stop 16 17 building stuff that burns fossil fuels. MR. KALRA: 18 You're absolutely right, 19 and that's where the -- the -- you know, 20 the -- the regulations on coal are there now, 21 so we cannot build a new coal plant today 22 unless it meets the emissions targets, which 23 are as -- as clean as gas, and that's where 24 the clean coal is coming, so, you know, we're 25 doing our part. Regulators have to do their

		r age oo		
1		part to come up w	ith something which provides	
2		clarity to the ind	dustry, and you know, and	
3		then we'll kind of follow those those		
4		regulations.		
5	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Mm'hmm. So what kind of	
6		power are you expecting to sell the heavy		
7		industrial? What what kind of price are		
8		you proposing to to to sell the power to		
9		them?		
10	MR.	KALRA:	They pay \$60; 60, \$70?	
11	MR.	LAWN:	65 to \$70.	
12	MR.	KALRA:	\$65, \$70 per megawatt hour.	
13	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Well, what what would	
14		that be in kilowatt hours?		
15	MR.	KALRA:	Six cents.	
16	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Six cents. And what what	
17		are residential customers paying?		
18	MR.	KALRA:	Residential customers pay	
19		roughly twelve cents.		
20	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Mm'hmm. So just about	
21		just about double.		
22	MR.	KALRA:	Yes, and	
23	MR.	LAWRENCE:	So	
24	MR.	KALRA:	I think before you came	
25		this question was	asked, and the biggest	
	İ			

1 reason why residential customers pay more than 2 the industrial customers is because the 3 industrial customers are connected to our 4 transmission grid, so it would be the cost of 5 the distribution system, which is roughly 6 150,000 kilometers, and I don't know how many 7 substations and transformers and everything 8 are not a factor in supplying power to the 9 industrial customers. They're -- they're only 10 a factor on the subline to the -- to the 11 residential customers. We don't have cross 12 subsidization. We try and keep it to the 1.3 minimum. Our rates are a range of revenue 14 required to tie us to the business. We are 15 between 98 to 102. The industrial side is 95 16 to 105, so there is -- you know, we try to 17 minimize any cross subsidization, so 18 industrial customers are paying less 19 because -- because it costs us less to serve 20 them. 21 MR. LAWRENCE: Because you're selling more 22 power.

23

24

25

MR. KALRA:

No, because it costs us less to serve them because our assets which are needed to serve the industrial customers

	rage ro		
1		are are, you know, much significantly	
2		lower as compared to the residential	
3		customers. There is no volume rebate for	
4		to anyone, given to anyone.	
5	MR.	LAWRENCE: Yeah. Is is do you	
6		require these heavy industrial customers to	
7		to meet some sort of level of efficiency in	
8		their in their industrial processes?	
9	MR.	KALRA: We have demand-side programs	
10		with them in some I think in some	
11	MR.	LAWN: It's Industrial	
12		Optimization Program, but we we don't force	
13		them to do it. It's a it's a program that	
14		we offer to help them identify measures they	
15		can take within their businesses to become	
16		more efficient.	
17	MR.	LAWRENCE: But if you're selling them	
18		power for 6 cents and it doesn't it doesn't	
19		pay them to get into energy efficiency then	
20		why would they why would they invest in	
21		energy efficiency? Why don't you charge them	
22		more to force them into energy efficiency	
23		instead?	
24	MR.	KALRA: We would love to.	
25	MR.	LAWRENCE: You what?	
	•		

1	MR.	KALRA:	I said we would love to	
2		charge them more.		
3	MR.	LAWRENCE:	You're not allowed to charge	
4		them more?		
5	MR.	KALRA:	We can't. You know, we	
6		charge what it cost us to provide power to		
7		a given customer.		
8	MR.	LAWN:	And in the in the rate	
9		application that we're looking at right now,		
10		the power class customers are going to get		
11		amongst the highest increases of all		
12		customers. It'll	be 7 percent this year.	
13	MR.	KING:	Right here, Peter.	
14	MR.	LAWN:	Oh.	
15	MR.	KING:	Just show him the	
16	СНА	CHAIRPERSON: Actually and maybe speak		
17		to the cost of ser	rvice study process a little	
18		bit.		
19	MR.	LAWN:	So, anyways, I'll finish	
20	with this, so first. The the power			
21		customers are going to get 7 percent in '14,		
22	5.8 percent in '15 and '16, so they're getting			
23		amongst the highest increases in this rate		
24		application.		
25	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Where where are we	

billion debt right now?

Okay. So you got a 5.2

percent by the end of 2016.

MR. LAWRENCE:

23

24

1	MR.	. LAWRENCE: Okay. What if private
2		power producers were allowed in this province
3		to to put power in a big way in this
4		province
5	MR.	. KALRA: Yeah.
6	MR.	. LAWRENCE: the capacity would be
7		their their debt and not SaskPower's debt?
8	MR.	. KALRA: No, it's the 5 billion
9		includes the debt of the private the IPP as
10		well. What happens is what they take on
11		the debt, but at the same time they get into a
12		long-term power purchase agreement with us, so
13		we have that obligation to pay them over the
14		next 25 years, 30 years, so that
15		5-billion-dollar number includes the debt of
16		the private sector because ultimately it's
17		passed on to us. This is not a merchant
18		market. It's not an open pool where they take
19		on the risk. We buy power from anyone who
20		produces it, so that becomes our debt.
21	MR.	. LAWRENCE: Yeah. Yeah. No, I know
22		Alberta has a deregulated system
23	MR.	. KALRA: Yeah.
24	MR.	. LAWRENCE: and when they need power,
25		I think they put tenders out for that power,
	İ	

1		and they have I think part of their process
2		is they also say they want a certain
3		percentage from say wind or solar or natural
4		gas or whatever whatever the power sources
5		they're looking for. Is that so is
6		is is SaskPower looking at any of that kind
7		of thing at all?
8	MR.	KALRA: No, we're not looking at
9		changing the market structure. You know, when
10		we compare what the customers pay over here,
11		the kind of stability we get, the kind of
12		reliability when you compare it to Alberta, we
13		don't see any, you know, pressing need for
14		changing the market structure in Saskatchewan.
15	MR.	LAWRENCE: So is that because that
16		would affect the control that SaskPower has
17		on on the grid
18	MR.	KALRA: SaskPower
19	MR.	LAWRENCE: system here?
20	MR.	KALRA: No, SaskPower works, you
21		know, for the service of the people of of
22		the province, and we think the people of the
23		province would be best served by the structure
24		that is in place today.
25	MR.	LAWRENCE: Okay. Well, I I just

1 heard about this meeting about an hour ago, so 2 I don't know very much about -- I know a 3 little bit about the power -- power things 4 that happened in the province, but I'm -- I'm 5 not -- I really would like SaskPower to look 6 at -- at inviting communities and private 7 power producers to put more power on and put 8 more green power. I want them to look at --9 if we're going to go into -- if we're going to 10 go into oil, we got to look at that flaring 11 gas, and we're going to -- we're going to save 12 a lot more in greenhouse gases by taking care 1.3 of that flaring gas than you're going to do 14 with your sequestering at your coal plants. 15 MR. KALRA: It's not that we have to do 16 one or the other. We're trying to do both. 17 MR. LAWRENCE: Mm'hmm. 18 MR. KALRA: And coal provides us base 19 power for roughly 50 percent of the system. 20 Flare gas can't do it. Even if we captured 21 all the flare gas in the province, you know, 22 it can't replace all the coal that is in the 23 province. 24 MR. LAWRENCE: Okay, and how about 25 cogeneration? It could take place --

	have an industry	like potash that's going to	
	be competitive on a world stage that they have		
	to have they h	have to have competitive	
	energy use as well, so if they can produce		
	their own power -	if they can produce power	
	and use the waste	e heat for their processes	
	there, then then it seems to me that		
	they're providing	g power for you guys and	
	they're provide -	providing a more	
	competitive edge	for themselves, so	
MR.	KALRA:	Yeah, we're in agreement	
	there, yeah.		
MR.	LAWRENCE:	So I'm looking for I'm	
	looking for yo	ou know, you're saying, you	
	know, we'll see what they do, and I'm saying I		
	don't want to see	e what you wait and do.	
MR.	KALRA:	No.	
MR.	LAWRENCE:	I want you to start telling	
	them they got to	they got to get into	
	cogeneration		
MR.	KALRA:	Yeah, we're already	
MR.	LAWRENCE:	and get into this stuff.	
MR.	KALRA:	there. We're talking to	
	them. We're not	we're not waiting for	
	for anything. We	e are always, you know, in	
	MR. MR. MR.	be competitive or to have they henergy use as well their own power and use the waste there, then th they're provide competitive edge MR. KALRA: there, yeah. MR. LAWRENCE: looking for you know, we'll see we don't want to see MR. KALRA: MR. LAWRENCE: them they got to cogeneration MR. KALRA: MR. LAWRENCE: them they for to cogeneration MR. KALRA: MR. LAWRENCE: MR. KALRA: MR. LAWRENCE: MR. KALRA: MR. LAWRENCE:	

1 touch with the potash developers to see if they are interested in doing --2 3 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. MR. KALRA: 4 -- something with us. 5 MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah. 6 MR. KALRA: That's already going on. 7 It's not that we're waiting on it. 8 Yeah. Well, when I looked MR. LAWRENCE: 9 at -- at the -- the environmental assessment 10 for Jansen Lake, basically they said that all 11 the power is coming from SaskPower and that 12 the greenhouse gases were their problem, so, 1.3 you know, I don't see that as being a 14 responsible way of supplying power to them or 15 responsible attitude on their -- on their 16 part, so, you know, that's not acceptable. 17 We're moving into a time of climate change. 18 We've got 'til 2050 to get off of fossil 19 fuels. You can't -- you can't -- you can't 20 bring industry -- industries in that -- that 21 are not -- that are not going to pull their 22 weight, so you have to -- if they're not 23 willing to pull their weight, then SaskPower 24 should talk to the government and maybe insist 25 that they do pull their weight or -- or

1	reorient your your structure so they have
2	to pay more for their power and force them to
3	get into that kind of stuff, make it
4	profitable for them to get into that.
5	You know, you guys are the
6	financial wizards. You should be able to make
7	that work somehow. You know how things are
8	working. I I got no idea how all that
9	stuff works, but if there's no incentives in
10	place, nothing's going to happen. You can't
11	sell cheap you can't sell power for six
12	cents and then tell the guys that, you know,
13	we want you to go to cogen. and we're okay.
14	We'll pay you well, we'll see what we pay
15	you for that, and, anyway, I'm not
16	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I want I just
17	wanted to thank you for for coming and
18	participating, and there are materials I
19	think maybe you do have a copy of the
20	MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah.
21	CHAIRPERSON: presentation that
22	SaskPower made and their application.
23	MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah.
24	CHAIRPERSON: And, as well, this is not
25	this isn't the only time that you can provide

MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure SaskPower has much -
MR. KALRA: It would -
CHAIRPERSON: -- more information in theirs.

22

23

24

25

1	MR.	KALRA:	be on our web site. If	
2		you go to saskpower.com, some of that		
3		information will be available. I'm sure the		
4		gas information is is available. It's a		
5		program which is open right now.		
6	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Mm'hmm.	
7	MR.	KALRA:	And there may be other	
8		programs as well.		
9	MR.	BARZEELE:	And the application is on	
10		our site, so they	can look at that.	
11	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Thanks very much.	
12	СНА	IRPERSON:	And, as Bill as Bill	
13		pointed out, the	application's on our web site	
14		as well.		
15	MR.	LAWRENCE:	Yeah.	
16	MR.	LEE:	I would like to finish just	
17		making a comment	on some of your comments.	
18		First of all, when	n I first introduced myself,	
19		I thanked you for	coming, and I was dismayed	
20		to see that there's only about three or four		
21		of us that actually attended this. I think		
22		it's quite importa	ant.	
23			The other thing for the Rate	
24		Review Panel, you	asked us if we had	
25		submissions. If	we'd had some lead time	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and like I found out about it two days ago.

This gentleman found out about it an hour ago.

If we had some time to prepare, we -- I have contacts, science that would do real impacting presentations to you and to SaskPower. My perspective is just a person that's interested in what's happening to our water and our earth. That's the perspective that I come from as an aboriginal person.

Your comments saying that -just one quick comment, first of all. Going forward we will not have a choice as to our energy source. Today we have the choice. need to get wise and to make the correct choice and go renewable because in 20 or 30 years, the depletion of fossil fuels -- the tar sands project I'm told is -- is 50 years, and I asked the presenter at an open forum, Well, what is your plan then? He says, Well, then we'll go renewable. You know, it's -it's mind-boggling that you wouldn't consider going renewable now, saving the planet, saving all the acidification of our land and water in northern Saskatchewan that's going on right now. The pH levels in La Loche right now are

1 at that point where you can't use the water 2 from the acidification that's coming from the 3 tar sands, so that's my point. We have the 4 choice today. We should make it because down 5 the road, we won't have the choice. 6 Now, one last comment. You 7 said solar and wind are not reliable. 8 Cowessess First Nation have gone on line, as I 9 understand, an 800-kilowatt wind turbine that 10 has the capacity to store 746 kilowatts. 11 That's a new wind turbine device, as I 12 understand it. I'm not really -- I'm no 1.3 expert on wind turbines or anything, but when 14 that happens, we need to encourage that kind 15 of development, right? And as for solar, the 16 new systems that are being designed and built, 17 we don't even need to see the sun for 200 days 18 of the year and we've got energy coming from 19 the sun. 20 Thank you very much for your 21 presentation. 22 CHAIRPERSON: Are there any other 23 questions or comments from anyone? 24 MR. LAWRENCE: I don't know enough about 25 what's going on to ask any questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, again, I'd just
2	like to thank you for attending and for your
3	participation and remind you again that if you
4	do want to contact the panel, we are open to
5	receiving input up until February 7th, so
6	that's quite a time line if you do want to
7	provide more detailed information to us.
8	MR. LAWRENCE: So what's the like this
9	is just is the is the web site on here
10	or
11	CHAIRPERSON: No, it's not. Those are
12	materials that were provided tonight by
13	SaskPower
14	MR. LAWRENCE: Yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON: for your information.
16	MR. LAWRENCE: So is there
17	CHAIRPERSON: Our did you see the ad
18	that was in the newspaper? Our our our
19	web site address is
20	MR. LAWRENCE: No, I just heard it by word
21	of mouth tonight, so
22	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. It's very simple.
23	It's saskratereview.ca, and and on and
24	on the web site we detail all the methods that
25	you can contact us, so we're having four other

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, SHAWN HURD, CSR, Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing pages contain a true and correct transcription of my stenograph notes taken herein to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. SHAWN HURD, CSR CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER